

# Fixed Point Theorem Using Occasionally Weakly Compatible Mappings in Metric Space

V. Srinivas<sup>1</sup> and Ravi S<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, University College of Science, Saifabad  
Osmania University, Hyderabad-500004 (Telangana), INDIA.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University Post Graduate College, Secunderabad  
Osmania University, Hyderabad-500003 (Telangana), INDIA.  
email: <sup>1</sup>srinivasmaths4141@gmail.com, <sup>2</sup>ravisriramula@gmail.com.

(Received on: March 6, 2019)

## ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to present a common fixed point theorem in a metric space which generalizes the result of P.C. Lohani & V.H. Badshah using the weaker conditions such as occasionally weakly compatible mappings and associated sequence in place of compatibility and completeness of the metric space. Moreover the condition of continuity of any one of the mappings is being dropped.

**AMS (2010) Mathematics Subject Classification:** 54H25, 47H10.

**Keywords:** Fixed point, self maps, compatible mappings, occasionally weakly compatible mappings, associated sequence.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Gerald Jungck gave a common fixed point theorem for commuting mappings, which generalizes the Banach's fixed point theorem. This result was further generalized and extended in various ways by many authors. S. Sessa<sup>5</sup> defined weak commutativity and proved common fixed point theorems for weakly commuting maps. Further G. Jungck<sup>1</sup> introduced the concept of compatible maps which is weaker than weakly commuting maps. Afterwards Jungck and Rhoades<sup>4</sup> defined weaker class of maps known as weakly compatible maps. The concept of occasionally weakly compatible mappings in metric space is introduced by A1-Thagafi and Shahzad<sup>10</sup> which is most general among all the commutativity concepts.

The purpose of this paper is to prove a common fixed point theorem for four self maps in which two pairs are occasionally weakly compatible.

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

**Definition2.1.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be two self mappings of a metric space  $(X, d)$  then  $S$  and  $T$  are said to be *commuting* on  $X$  if  $STx = TSx$  for all  $x$  in  $X$ .

**Definition2.2.** Two self maps  $S$  and  $T$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$  are said to be *compatible mappings* if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(STx_n, TSx_n) = 0$ , whenever  $\langle x_n \rangle$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = t \text{ for some } t \in X.$$

Clearly,  $S$  and  $T$  are compatible mappings on  $X$ , then  $d(STx, TSx) = 0$  when  $d(Sx, Tx) = 0$ , for some  $x$  in  $X$ .

**Definition2.3.** Two self maps  $S$  and  $T$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$  are said to be *weakly compatible* if they commute at their coincidence point. i.e., if  $Su = Tu$  for some  $u \in X$  then  $STu = TSu$ .

It is clear that every compatible pair is weakly compatible but its converse need not be true.

**Definition2.4.** Two self maps  $S$  and  $T$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$  are said to be *occasionally weakly compatible* if  $S$  and  $T$  are commuting at some coincident points. That means  $S$  and  $T$  are not commuting at all coincidence points.

Weakly compatible mappings are occasionally weakly compatible mappings but converse is not true.

P.C.Lohani and V.H. Badshah<sup>6</sup> proved the following theorem.

**Theorem2.5:** Let  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$  be self mappings from a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  into itself satisfying the following conditions

$$S(X) \subset Q(X) \text{ and } T(X) \subset P(X) \tag{2.5.1}$$

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq \alpha \frac{d(Qy, Ty)[1 + d(Px, Sx)]}{[1 + d(Px, Qy)]} + \beta d(Px, Qy) \tag{2.5.2}$$

for all  $x, y$  in  $X$  where  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta < 1$

$$\text{one of } P, Q, S \text{ and } T \text{ is continuous} \tag{2.5.3}$$

$$\text{the pairs } (S, P) \text{ and } (T, Q) \text{ are compatible on } X \tag{2.5.4}$$

then  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

Now we generalize Theorem2.5 using occasionally weakly compatible mappings and associated sequence.

**Associated Sequence2.6<sup>9</sup>:** Suppose  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$  are self maps of a metric space  $(X, d)$  satisfying the condition (2.5.1). Then for an arbitrary  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $Sx_0 = Qx_1$  and for  $x_1,$

there exists a point  $x_2$  in  $X$  such that  $Tx_1 = Px_2$  and so on. Proceeding in the similar manner, we can define a sequence  $\langle y_n \rangle$  in  $X$  such that  $y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = Qx_{2n+1}$  and  $y_{2n+1} = Px_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+1}$  for  $n \geq 0$ . We shall call this sequence as an ‘‘Associated sequence of  $x_0$ ’’ relative to the four self maps  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$ .

Now we prove a lemma which plays an important role in our main Theorem.

**Lemma2.7:** Let  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$  be self mappings from a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  into itself satisfying the conditions (2.5.1) and (2.5.2). Then the associated sequence  $\{y_n\}$  relative to four self maps is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

**Proof:** From the definition of associated sequence (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &= d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \alpha \frac{d(Qx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})[1 + d(Px_{2n}, Sx_{2n})]}{[1 + d(Px_{2n}, Qy_{2n+1})]} + \beta d(Px_{2n}, Qy_{2n+1}) \\ &= \alpha \frac{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})[1 + d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})]}{[1 + d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})]} + \beta d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \\ &= \alpha d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \\ (1 - \alpha)d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &\leq \beta d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \\ d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &\leq \frac{\beta}{(1 - \alpha)} d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \\ d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &\leq h d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \quad \text{where } h = \frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq h d(y_{n-1}, y_n) \leq h^2 d(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}) \leq \dots \leq h^n d(y_0, y_1)$$

for every integer  $p > 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_{n+p}) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}) \\ d(y_n, y_{n+p}) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}) \\ &\leq h^n d(y_0, y_1) + h^{n+1} d(y_0, y_1) + \dots + h^{n+p-1} d(y_0, y_1) \\ &\leq (h^n + h^{n+1} + \dots + h^{n+p-1}) d(y_0, y_1) \\ &\leq h^n (1 + h + h^2 + \dots + h^{p-1}) d(y_0, y_1) \end{aligned}$$

since  $h < 1, h^n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  so that  $d(y_n, y_{n+p}) \rightarrow 0$ . This shows that the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$  and since  $X$  is a complete metric space, it converges to a limit, say  $z \in X$ . The converse of the Lemma is not true, that is  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$  are self maps of a metric space  $(X, d)$  satisfying (2.5.1) and (2.5.2), even if for any  $x_0 \in X$  and for any associated sequence of  $x_0$  converges, the metric space  $(X, d)$  need not be complete.

**Example 2.8:** Let  $X = \left[ \frac{1}{4}, 5 \right)$  and with  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ . Define self maps  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$  of  $X$  by

$$Sx = Tx = \begin{cases} x^2 & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq x < 1 \\ 2x-1 & \text{if } 1 \leq x < 5 \end{cases} \text{ and } Px = Qx = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{4} & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq x < 1 \\ x^2 & \text{if } 1 \leq x < 5 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Then } S(X) = T(X) = \left[ \frac{1}{16}, 1 \right] \cup [1, 9] \text{ while } P(X) = Q(X) = \left[ \frac{1}{16}, 1 \right] \cup [1, 25]$$

so that  $S(X) \subset Q(X)$  and  $T(X) \subset P(X)$  proving the condition (2.5.1). It is also easy to prove that the associated sequence  $Sx_0, Tx_1, Sx_2, Tx_3, \dots, Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, \dots$  converges to 1.

**Lemma 2.9:** Let  $X$  be a set,  $S$  and  $P$  are occasionally weakly compatible self maps of  $X$ . If  $S$  and  $P$  have a unique point of coincidence  $w = Sx = Px$ , then  $w$  is the unique common fixed point of  $S$  and  $P$ .

**Proof:** Since  $S$  and  $P$  are occasionally weakly compatible maps then there exists point  $x \in X$  such that  $w = Px = Sx$  and  $PSx = SPx$ . Thus  $PPx = PSx = SPx$  says that  $Px$  is also a point of coincidence of  $S$  and  $P$ . Since the point of coincidence  $w = Px$  is unique by hypothesis,  $SPx = PPx = Px$  and  $w = Px$  is a common fixed point of  $P$  and  $S$ . Moreover if  $z$  is any common fixed point of  $P$  and  $S$ , then  $z = Px = Sx = w$  by the uniqueness of the point of coincidence.

Now we generalize the above Theorem 2.5 in the following form.

### 3. MAIN RESULT

**Theorem 3.1:** Let  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$  are self maps of a metric space  $(X, d)$  satisfying the conditions  $S(X) \subset Q(X)$  and  $T(X) \subset P(X)$  (3.1.1)

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq \alpha \frac{d(Qy, Ty)[1 + d(Px, Sx)]}{[1 + d(Px, Qy)]} + \beta d(Px, Qy) \tag{3.1.2}$$

for all  $x, y$  in  $X$  where  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta < 1$

the pairs  $(S, P)$  and  $(Q, T)$  both are occasionally weakly compatible . (3.1.3)

Further

the associated sequence relative to four self maps  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$  such that the sequence  $Sx_0, Tx_1, Sx_2, Tx_3, \dots, Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, \dots$  converges to  $z \in X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (3.1.4)

then  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof:** Using the condition (3.1.4), we have

$$Sx_{2n} \rightarrow z, Qx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z, Tx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z \text{ and } Px_{2n+2} \rightarrow z \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Since (S,P) and (Q,T) both are occasionally weakly compatible

So there are points  $x, y$  in  $X$  such that

$$Sx = Px \text{ and } Qy = Ty$$

Using (3.1.2) we claim  $Sx = Qy$

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq \alpha \frac{d(Qy, Ty)[1 + d(Px, Sx)]}{[1 + d(Px, Qy)]} + \beta d(Px, Qy)$$

using the conditions  $Sx = Px$  and  $Qy = Ty$ , we get

$$d(Sx, Qy) \leq \alpha \frac{d(Qy, Qy)[1 + d(Sx, Sx)]}{[1 + d(Sx, Qy)]} + \beta d(Sx, Qy)$$

$$d(Sx, Qy) \leq \beta d(Sx, Qy)$$

$$\frac{d(Sx, Qy)}{d(Sx, Qy)} \leq \beta, \text{ since } \beta \geq 0, \text{ which is a contradiction.}$$

Hence  $Sx = Qy$ .

Therefore  $Sx = Qy = Px = Ty$ .

Suppose there is another point of coincidence say,  $w$  in  $X$  such that  $Sz = Pz = w$  then

$$Sz = Pz = Qy = Ty, \text{ which gives } S_z = Sx \text{ implies } z = x.$$

Hence  $w = Sx = Px$  for  $w \in X$  is the unique point of coincidence of  $P$  and  $S$ . By lemma (2.9),  $w$  is common fixed point of  $S$  and  $P$ . Hence  $Sw = Pw = w$ .

Similarly there exists a common fixed point of  $Q$  and  $T$  say  $v \in X$  such that  $v = Qv = Tv$ .

Suppose  $w \neq v$

put  $x = w$  and  $y = v$  in the condition (3.1.2), we get

$$d(Sw, Tv) \leq \alpha \frac{d(Qv, Tv)[1 + d(Pw, Sw)]}{[1 + d(Pw, Qv)]} + \beta d(Pw, Qv)$$

$$d(w, v) \leq \alpha \frac{d(v, v)[1 + d(w, w)]}{[1 + d(w, v)]} + \beta d(w, v)$$

$$d(w, v) \leq \beta d(w, v)$$

$$(1 - \beta) d(w, v) \leq 0 \text{ since } \beta \geq 0 \text{ and } \alpha + \beta < 1$$

$$d(w, v) = 0 \text{ implies } w = v.$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore  $w = v$ . Hence  $w$  is a common fixed point of  $P, Q, S$  and  $T$ .

**Remark3.2:** From the example (1.1.12), clearly  $x = 1$  and  $x = \frac{1}{4}$  are two coincidence points.

If  $x = 1$  then  $S(1) = P(1) = 1$  and  $T(1) = Q(1) = 1$  which gives  $SP(1) = 1 = PS(1)$  and  $TQ(1) = 1 = QT(1)$  but  $SP\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \neq PS\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)$  and  $TQ\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \neq QT\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)$ . Therefore the pairs  $(S, P)$  and  $(T, Q)$  are occasionally weakly compatible but not weakly compatible. The rational inequality holds for the values of  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta < 1$ . Moreover '1' is the unique common fixed point of P, Q, S and T.

## CONCLUSION

Theorem 3.1 is a generalization of Theorem 2.5 by virtue of the weaker conditions such as occasionally weakly compatibility of the pairs  $(S, P)$  and  $(Q, T)$  in place of compatibility of the pairs  $(S, P)$  and  $(Q, T)$ . The continuity of any one of the mappings is being dropped and the convergence of associated sequence relative to four self maps S, P, Q and T is used in place of the complete metric space.

## REFERENCES

1. G.Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Internat. J.Math. & Math.Sci.*, 9, 771-778 (1986).
2. R.P.Pant, A Common fixed point theorem under a new condition, *Indian J. of Pure and App. Math.*, 30(2), 147-152 (1999).
3. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points (2), *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.*, 11, 285-288 (1988).
4. G.Jungck and B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math*, 29(3), 227-238 (1998).
5. S.Sessa, on weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations, *Publ. Inst. Math*, 32(46), 149-153 (1980).
6. P.C.Lohani and V.H.Badshah, Compatible mappings and common fixed point for four mappings, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, 90, 301-308 (1998).
7. B.Fisher, Common fixed points of four mappings, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica*, 11, 103-108 (1983).
8. Ravi Sriramula and V.Srinivas, A fixed point theorem using using weakly semi compatible mappings in metric space, *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 11(15), 515-524 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2017.7688>
9. Ravi Sriramula and V.Srinivas, A Result on fixed point theorem using compatible mappings of type(K), *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 13(1), 41-47 (2017). DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22457/apam.v13n1a5>
10. M.A.A1-Thagafi, N.A.Shahzad, A note on occasionally weakly compatible maps, *Int. J. Math. Anal.* 3, 55-58 (2009).
11. Popa, V. A general common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible mappings in compact metric spaces, *Turk. J. Math.* 25, 465-474, (2001).