

# On Some $I$ -convergence of Difference Double Sequence Classes of Fuzzy Real Numbers Defined by Modulus Function

Manmohan Das\* and Sanjay Kumar Das

\*Department of Mathematics,  
Bajali College, Assam, INDIA.  
Department of Mathematics,  
Handique Girls' College, Assam, INDIA.  
email: mdas.bajali@gmail.com, sanjay\_nlb@rediffmail.com

(Received on: November 23, 2017)

## ABSTRACT

In this article our aim to introduce some new  $I$ -convergence of difference double sequence spaces of fuzzy real numbers defined by modulus function and studies their some topological and algebraic properties. Also we establish some inclusion relations.

**AMS Classification No.:** 40A05, 40D25, 46A45, 46E30.

**Keywords:** Fuzzy real number,  $I$ -convergence, double sequence, modulus function.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh<sup>32</sup>. After that many authors have studied and generalized this notion in many ways, due to the potential of the introduced notion. Also it has wide range of applications in almost all the branches of science where mathematics has been used. It attracted many workers to introduce different types of fuzzy sequence spaces.

Bounded and convergent sequences of fuzzy numbers were studied by Matloka<sup>8</sup>. Later on sequences of fuzzy numbers have been studied by Kaleva and Seikkala<sup>2</sup>, Tripathy and Sarma<sup>13,14</sup> and many others.

$I$ -convergence of real valued sequence was studied at the initial stage by Kostyrko, Šalát and Wilczyński<sup>4</sup> which generalizes and unifies different notions of convergence of sequences. The notion was further studied by Šalát, Tripathy and Ziman<sup>9</sup>.

Let  $X$  be a non-empty set, then a non-void class  $I \subseteq 2^X$  (power set of  $X$ ) is called an *ideal* if  $I$  is additive (i.e.  $A, B \in I \Rightarrow A \cup B \in I$ ) and hereditary (i.e.  $A \in I$  and  $B \subseteq A \Rightarrow B \in I$ ). An

ideal  $I \subseteq 2^X$  is said to be non-trivial if  $I \neq 2^X$ . A non-trivial ideal  $I$  is said to be *admissible* if  $I$  contains every finite subset of  $N$ . A non-trivial ideal  $I$  is said to be *maximal* if there does not exist any non-trivial ideal  $J \neq I$  containing  $I$  as a subset.

Let  $X$  be a non-empty set, then a non-void class  $F \subseteq 2^X$  is said to be a *filter* in  $X$  if  $\phi \notin F$ ;  $A, B \in F \Rightarrow A \cap B \in F$  and  $A \in F, A \subseteq B \Rightarrow B \in F$ . For any ideal  $I$ , there is a filter  $\Psi(I)$  corresponding to  $I$ , given by

$$\Psi(I) = \{K \subseteq N : N \setminus K \in I\}.$$

A modulus function  $f$  is a function from  $[0, \infty)$  to  $[0, \infty)$  such that :

- (i)  $f(x) = 0$  iff  $x = 0$
- (ii)  $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$  for all  $x, y \geq 0$ .
- (iii)  $f$  is increasing.
- (iv)  $f$  is continuous from the right at 0.

It follows that  $f$  must be continuous everywhere on  $[0, \infty)$  and a modulus function may be bounded or not bounded.

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND BACKGROUND

Let  $D$  denote the set of all closed and bounded intervals  $X = [a_1, b_1]$  on the real line  $R$ . For  $X = [a_1, b_1] \in D$  and  $Y = [a_2, b_2] \in D$ , define  $d(X, Y)$  by

$$d(X, Y) = \max(|a_1 - b_1|, |a_2 - b_2|).$$

It is known that  $(D, d)$  is a complete metric space.

A fuzzy real number  $X$  is a fuzzy set on  $R$  i.e. a mapping  $X : R \rightarrow L (= [0, 1])$  associating each real number  $t$  with its grade of membership  $X(t)$ .

The  $\alpha$ -level set  $[X]^\alpha$  set of a fuzzy real number  $X$  for  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , defined as

$$X^\alpha = \{t \in R : X(t) \geq \alpha\}.$$

A fuzzy real number  $X$  is called *convex*, if  $X(t) \geq X(s) \wedge X(r) = \min(X(s), X(r))$ , where  $s < t < r$ .

If there exists  $t_0 \in R$  such that  $X(t_0) = 1$ , then the fuzzy real number  $X$  is called *normal*.

A fuzzy real number  $X$  is said to be *upper semi-continuous* if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $X^{-1}([0, a + \varepsilon))$ , for all  $a \in L$  is open in the usual topology of  $R$ .

The set of all upper semi-continuous, normal, convex fuzzy number is denoted by  $L(R)$ .

The absolute value  $|X|$  of  $X \in L(R)$  is defined as (see for instance Kaleva and Seikkala<sup>2</sup>)

$$|X|(t) = \begin{cases} \max\{X(t), X(-t)\} & , \text{ if } t \geq 0 \\ 0 & , \text{ if } t < 0. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\bar{d} : L(R) \times L(R) \rightarrow R$  be defined by

$$\bar{d}(X, Y) = \sup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} d(X^\alpha, Y^\alpha).$$

Then  $\bar{d}$  defines a metric on  $L(R)$ .

A sequence  $X = (X_k)$  of fuzzy numbers is a function  $X$  from the set  $N$  of all positive integers into  $L(R)$ . The fuzzy number  $X_k$  denotes the value of the function at  $k \in N$  and is called the  $k$ -th term or general term of the sequence. The set of all sequences of fuzzy numbers is denoted by  $w^F$ .

A sequence  $(X_k)$  of fuzzy real numbers is said to be convergent to the fuzzy real number  $X_0$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k_0 \in N$  such that  $\bar{d}(X_k, X_0) < \varepsilon$  for all  $k \geq k_0$ .

A sequence  $X = (X_k)$  of fuzzy numbers is said to be  $I$ -convergent if there exists a fuzzy number  $X_0$  such that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $\{k \in N : \bar{d}(X_k, X_0) \geq \varepsilon\} \in I$ . We write  $I\text{-lim } X_k = X_0$ .

A sequence  $(X_k)$  of fuzzy numbers is said to be  $I$ -bounded if there exists a real number  $\mu$  such that the set  $\{k \in N : \bar{d}(X_k, \bar{0}) > \mu\} \in I$ .

We follow Tripathy and Hazarika<sup>18,19,23,25</sup>, Tripathy and Sen<sup>31</sup>, Tripathy and Mahanta<sup>22</sup>, Tripathy and Tripathy<sup>12</sup> for the ideals considered throughout the article. If  $I = I_f$ , then  $I_f$  convergence coincides with the usual convergence of fuzzy sequences. If  $I = I_d(I_\delta)$ , then  $I_d(I_\delta)$  convergence coincides with statistical convergence (logarithmic convergence) of fuzzy sequences. If  $I = I_u$ ,  $I_u$  convergence is said to be uniform convergence of fuzzy sequences.

A double sequence of fuzzy real numbers is a double infinite array of fuzzy real numbers. we denote a double sequence of fuzzy real numbers by  $(X_{k,l})$ , where  $X_{k,l}$ 's are fuzzy real numbers for each  $k, l \in N$ . Throughout the article  ${}_2w^F$  denote the set of all double sequences of fuzzy real numbers.

A double sequence  $(X_{k,l})$  of fuzzy numbers is said to be convergent in Pringsheim sense or P-convergent to a fuzzy real number  $X_0$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $k_0, l_0 \in N$  such that

$$\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, X_0) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_0, l \geq l_0. \text{ We write } P\text{-lim } X_{k,l} = X_0.$$

A double sequence  $(X_{k,l})$  of fuzzy numbers is said to be null in Pringsheim sense or P-null if  $P\text{-lim } X_{k,l} = \bar{0}$ .

A double sequence  $(X_{k,l})$  of fuzzy numbers is said to be bounded in Pringsheim sense or P-bounded if  $\sup_{k,l} \bar{d}(X_{k,l}, X_0) < \infty$ .

Let  $I_2$  be an ideal of  $2^{N \times N}$ . A double sequence  $(X_{k,l})$  of fuzzy numbers is said to be  $I$ -convergent in Pringsheim sense if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\{(k, l) \in N \times N : \bar{d}(X_{k,l}, X_0) \geq \varepsilon\} \in I_2$$

We write  $I\text{-lim } X_{k,l} = X_0$ .

For  $X_0 = \bar{0}$ , it is called  $I$ -null in Pringsheim sense.

Let  $I_2$  be an ideal of  $2^{N \times N}$  and  $I$  be an ideal of  $2^N$ . A double sequence  $(X_{k,l})$  of fuzzy numbers is said to be regularly  $I$ -convergent to a fuzzy number  $X_0$  if it is  $I$ -convergent in Pringsheim sense and for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  the followings hold:

For each  $l \in N$  there exists  $L_l \in L(R)$  such that  $\{k \in N : \bar{d}(X_{k,l}, L_l) \geq \varepsilon\} \in I$ , and for each  $k \in N$  there exists  $M_k \in L(R)$  such that  $\{l \in N : \bar{d}(X_{k,l}, M_k) \geq \varepsilon\} \in I$ .

If  $L_l = M_k = \bar{0}$  for all  $l \in N$ , the sequence  $(X_{k,l})$  is said to be regularly I-null.

A double sequence  $(X_{k,l})$  of fuzzy numbers is said to be I- Cauchy if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $s = s(\varepsilon), t = t(\varepsilon) \in N$  such that  $\{(k, l) \in N \times N : \bar{d}(X_{k,l}, X_{s,t}) \geq \varepsilon\} \in I_2$ .

A double sequence  $(X_{k,l})$  of fuzzy numbers is said to be I- bounded if there exists a real number  $M > 0$  such that  $\{(k, l) \in N \times N : \bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0}) \geq M\} \in I_2$ .

Throughout,  ${}_2W^{I(F)}$ ,  ${}_2W_0^{I(F)}$  and  ${}_2W_\infty^{I(F)}$  denote the spaces of fuzzy real-valued I-convergent, I-null and I- bounded sequences respectively.

It is clear from the definitions that  ${}_2W_0^{I(F)} \subset {}_2W^{I(F)} \subset {}_2W_\infty^{I(F)}$  and the inclusions are proper.

It can be easily shown that  ${}_2W_\infty^{I(F)}$  is complete with respect to the metric  $f$  defined by  $f(X, Y) = \sup_{k,l} \bar{d}(X_{k,l}, Y_{k,l})$ , where  $X = (X_{k,l}), Y = (Y_{k,l}) \in {}_2W_\infty^{I(F)}$ .

**Lemma 2.1:** Let  $(\alpha_k)$  and  $(\beta_k)$  be sequences of real or complex numbers and  $(p_k)$  be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers, then

$$|\alpha_k + \beta_k|^{p_k} \leq D(|\alpha_k|^{p_k} + |\beta_k|^{p_k})$$

$$|\lambda|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |\lambda|^H)$$

and

where  $D = \max(1, |\lambda|^{H-1}), H = \sup p_k$ ,  $\lambda$  is any real or complex number.

**Lemma 2.2:** If  $\bar{d}$  is translation invariant then

- (a)  $\bar{d}(X_{k,l} + Y_{k,l}, \bar{0}) \leq \bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0}) + \bar{d}(Y_{k,l}, \bar{0})$
- (b)  $\bar{d}(\alpha X_{k,l}, \bar{0}) \leq |\alpha| \bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0})$ ,  $|\alpha| > 1$ .

Let  $f$  be a double sequence of modulus functions,  $p = (p_{k,l})$  be a bounded double sequence of strictly positive real numbers. We define the following new sequence spaces as:

$${}_2W^{I(F)}(f, p) = \left\{ X = (X_{k,l}) \in {}_2W^F : I - \lim f([\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, X_0)]^p) = 0, \text{ for } X_0 \in L(R) \right\} \in I_2$$

$${}_2W_0^{I(F)}(f, p) = \left\{ X = (X_{k,l}) \in {}_2W^F : I - \lim f([\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0})]^p) = 0 \right\} \in I_2$$

$${}_2W_\infty^{I(F)}(f, p) = \left\{ X = (X_{k,l}) \in {}_2W^F : I - \sup_{k,l} f([\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0})]^p) < \infty \right\} \in I_2$$

**Some special cases**

a. If  $f(x) = x$ , then the above spaces becomes,

$${}_2W^{I(F)}(p) = \left\{ X = (X_{k,l}) \in {}_2W^F : I - \lim[\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, X_0)]^p = 0, \text{ for } X_0 \in L(R) \right\} \in I_2$$

$${}_2W_0^{I(F)}(p) = \left\{ X = (X_{k,l}) \in {}_2W^F : I - \lim[\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0})]^p = 0 \right\} \in I_2$$

$${}_2W_\infty^{I(F)}(p) = \left\{ X = (X_{k,l}) \in {}_2W^F : I - \sup_{k,l} [\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0})]^p < \infty \right\} \in I_2$$

b. If  $(p_{k,l}) = 1$  for all  $k, l \in N$ , we have,

$${}_2W^{I(F)}(f) = \left\{ X = (X_{k,l}) \in {}_2W^F : I - \lim f([\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, X_0)]) = 0, \text{ for } X_0 \in L(R) \right\} \in I_2$$

$${}_2W_0^{I(F)}(f) = \left\{ X = (X_{k,l}) \in {}_2W^F : I - \lim f([\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0})]) = 0 \right\} \in I_2$$

$${}_2W_\infty^{I(F)}(f) = \left\{ X = (X_{k,l}) \in {}_2W^F : I - \sup_{k,l} f([\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0})]) < \infty \right\} \in I_2$$

c. If  $f(x) = x$  and  $(p_{k,l}) = 1$  for all  $k, l \in N$ , then

$${}_2W^{I(F)} = \left\{ X = (X_{k,l}) \in {}_2W^F : I - \lim [\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, X_0)] = 0, \text{ for } X_0 \in L(R) \right\} \in I_2$$

$${}_2W_0^{I(F)} = \left\{ X = (X_{k,l}) \in {}_2W^F : I - \lim [\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0})] = 0 \right\} \in I_2$$

$${}_2W_\infty^{I(F)} = \left\{ X = (X_{k,l}) \in {}_2W^F : I - \sup_{k,l} [\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0})] < \infty \right\} \in I_2$$

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 3.1:** Let  $f$  be a modulus function, then  ${}_2W^{I(F)}(f, p)$ ,  ${}_2W_0^{I(F)}(f, p)$  and  ${}_2W_\infty^{I(F)}(f, p)$  are closed under addition and scalar multiplication.

**Proof:** We will prove the result for  ${}_2W_0^{I(F)}(f, p)$ , others are same.

Let,  $X = (X_{k,l})$  and  $Y = (Y_{k,l}) \in {}_2W_0^{I(F)}(f, p)$ . For scalars  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ , there exist integers  $a_\alpha$  and  $b_\beta$  such that  $|\alpha| \leq a_\alpha$  and  $|\beta| \leq b_\beta$ . Since  $f$  be a modulus function, we have –

$$f([\bar{d}((\alpha X_k + \beta Y_k), \bar{0})]^p) \leq D(a_\alpha)^H f([\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0})]^p) + D(b_\beta)^H f([\bar{d}(Y_{k,l}, \bar{0})]^p) \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k, l \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore,  $\alpha X_k + \beta Y_k \in {}_2W_0^{I(F)}(F, p)$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.2:** Let  $f$  be a modulus function, then  ${}_2W_\infty^{I(F)}(p) \subset {}_2W_\infty^{I(F)}(f, p)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $X = (X_{k,l}) \in {}_2W_\infty^{I(F)}(p)$ , then we have  $I - \sup_{k,l} f([\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0})]^p) < \infty$ . Let

$\varepsilon > 0$  and choose a  $\delta > 0$  with  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $f(t) < \varepsilon$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Thus  $I - \sup_{k,l} f([\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0})]^p) = I - \sup_{k,l, \bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0}) \leq \delta} f([\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0})]^p) + I - \sup_{k,l, \bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0}) > \delta} f([\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0})]^p)$

$$\leq \varepsilon + \frac{M}{\delta} \sup_{k,l} \left[ (\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0}))^p \right] \text{ by properties of modulus function.}$$

$< \infty$

Hence  $X = (X_{k,l}) \in {}_2W_\infty^{I(F)}(f, p)$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.3:** Let  $f$  be a modulus function and  $\alpha = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} > 0$ , then

$${}_2W_\infty^{I(F)}(f, p) \subset {}_2W_\infty^{I(F)}(p).$$

**Proof:** Let  $X = (X_{k,l}) \in {}_2W_\infty^{I(F)}(f, p)$ . By definition of  $\alpha$ , we have  $f(t) \geq \alpha t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Since,  $\alpha > 0$ , we have  $t \leq \frac{f(t)}{\alpha}$ .

Thus,

$$I - \sup_{k,l} ([\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0})]^p) \leq I - \frac{1}{\alpha} \sup_{k,l} f([\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0})]^p) < \infty$$

This follows that  $X = (X_{k,l}) \in {}_2W_\infty^{I(F)}(p)$ .

**Theorem 3.4:** Let  $f$  be a modulus function, then  ${}_2W_\infty^{I(F)} \subset {}_2W_0^{I(F)}(f, p)$  if  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = 0$  for  $t > 0$ .

**Proof:** It can be established by using standard technique.

**Theorem 3.5:** Let  $f$  be a modulus function and if  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = \infty$  for  $t > 0$  then

$${}_2W_\infty^{I(F)}(f, p) \subset {}_2W_0^{I(F)}$$

**Proof:** Let  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = \infty$  for  $t > 0$ . If  $X = (X_{k,l}) \in {}_2W_\infty^{I(F)}(f, p)$ .

Then,

$$f([\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0})]^p) \leq M < \infty \text{ for all } k, l.$$

If possible let  $X = (X_k) \notin {}_2W_0^{I(F)}$ , then for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $k_0$  such that  $\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0}) < \varepsilon$  for  $k \geq k_0, l \geq l_0$ .

Therefore,

$$f(\varepsilon) \geq f([\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0})]^p) \leq M \text{ for } k \geq k_0, l \geq l_0.$$

This contradicts to our assumption that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = \infty$  for  $t > 0$  and hence

$X = (X_{k,l}) \in {}_2W_0^{I(F)}$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.6:** If  $f$  be a modulus function, then  ${}_2W_0^{I(F)}(f, p)$  and  ${}_2W_\infty^{I(F)}(f, p)$  are paranormed spaces with the paranorm  $h$  defined by-

$$h(X) = \sup_{k,l} \left\{ f[\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0})]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{M}}$$

Where  $M = \max \left\{ 1, \sup_{k,l} p \right\}$

**Proof:** Obviously  $h(X) = h(-X)$  for all  $X \in {}_2W_0^{I(F)}(f, p)$

It is trivial that  $X_{k,l} = \bar{0}$  for  $X = \bar{0}$ .

Since,  $\frac{p}{M} \leq 1$ , since  $\bar{d}$  is translation invariant and by using Minkowski's inequality, we have,

$$\left\{ f[\bar{d}((X_{k,l} + Y_{k,l}), \bar{0})]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq \left\{ f[\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, \bar{0})]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{M}} + \left\{ f[\bar{d}(Y_{k,l}, \bar{0})]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{M}}$$

Hence,

$$h(X + Y) \leq h(X) + h(Y)$$

Finally to check the continuity of scalar multiplication, let  $\lambda$  be any scalar, by definition we have

$$h(\lambda X) = \sup_{k,l} \left\{ f[\bar{d}(\lambda X_{k,l}, \bar{0})]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq K_\lambda^{\frac{H}{M}} h(X)$$

where  $H = \sup_{k,l} p < \infty$ .

Where  $K_\lambda$  is positive integer such that  $|\lambda| \leq K_\lambda$ . Let  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  for any fixed  $X$  with  $h(X) = 0$ .

By definition for  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ , we have

$$\sup_{k,l} \left\{ f[\bar{d}(\lambda X_{k,l}, \bar{0})]^p \right\} \leq \varepsilon \text{ for } n > N(\varepsilon).$$

Also for  $1 \leq n \leq N$  by taking  $\lambda$  small enough, since  $f$  is continuous, we get

$$\sup_{k,l} \left\{ f[\bar{d}(\lambda X_{k,l}, \bar{0})]^p \right\} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Implies that  $h(\lambda X) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.7:** If  $I$  is an admissible ideal then the spaces  ${}_2w^{I(F)}(f, p)$ ,  ${}_2w_0^{I(F)}(f, p)$  and  ${}_2w_\infty^{I(F)}(f, p)$  are complete metric spaces under the metric –

$$h(X, Y) = \sup_k \left\{ f[\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, Y_{k,l})]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{M}}$$

Where  $M = \max \left\{ 1, \sup_{k,l} p \right\}$

**Proof:** It is easy to see that  $h$  is a metric on  ${}_2w^{I(F)}(f, p)$ . To show completeness.

Let  $(X^i)$  be a Cauchy sequence in  ${}_2w^{I(F)}(f, p)$  where  $(X^i) = (X_{k,l}^i)$ .

Therefore for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $i_0 \in N$  such that

$$h(X^i, X^j) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } i, j \geq i_0.$$

i.e

$$\sup_{k,l} \left\{ f[\bar{d}(X_{k,l}^i, X_{k,l}^j)]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{M}} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } i, j \geq i_0.$$

This means

$$\sup_{k,l} (f[\bar{d}(X_{k,l}^i, X_{k,l}^j)]^p) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } i, j \geq i_0.$$

Since  $f$  is modulus function, so choosing suitable  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  and we obtain

$$\bar{d}(X_{k,l}^i, X_{k,l}^j) < \varepsilon_1 \quad \text{for all } i, j \geq i_0 \quad \text{and for each } k, l.$$

i.e

$(X_k^i)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L(R)$  for each  $k, l$ .

Keeping  $i$  fixed and letting  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , one can find that –

$$\sup_{k,l} (f[\bar{d}(X_{k,l}^i, X_{k,l})]^p) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } i \geq i_0.$$

That means,

$$h(X^i, X) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } i \geq i_0.$$

Next to show  $X \in {}_2w^{I(F)}(f, p)$ , for which the proof as follows:

Since  $(X_{k,l}^i) \in {}_2w^{I(F)}(f, p)$  for  $i \in N$ , so for  $i, j$ , there exist  $L^i, L^j \in L(R)$  and  $k_i, k_j \in N$  and  $l_i, l_j \in N$ , such that

$$\sup_{k,l} (f[\bar{d}(X_{k,l}^i, L^i)]^p) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_i, l \geq l_i$$



and

$$\sup_{k,l} (f[\bar{d}(X_{k,l}^j, L^j)]^{p_k}) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_j \text{ and } l \geq l_j.$$

Now let  $k_0 = \max(k_i, k_j)$  and  $l_0 = \max(l_i, l_j)$ ; for  $i, j \geq i_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{k,l} (f[\bar{d}(L^i, L^j)]^p) &\leq C \sup_{k,l} (f[\bar{d}(L^i, X_{k,l}^i)]^p) \\ &\quad + C \sup_{k,l} (f[\bar{d}(X_{k,l}^i, X_{k,l}^j)]^p) \\ &\quad + C \sup_{k,l} (f[\bar{d}(X_{k,l}^j, L^j)]^p) \\ &< 3C\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } i, j \geq i_0 \text{ and } k \geq k_0; l \geq l_0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(L^i)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L(R)$ . So there exists  $L \in L(R)$  such that

$$L^i \rightarrow L \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty$$

Now keeping  $i$  fixed and letting  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , once can find that,

$$\sup_{k,l} (f[\bar{d}(L^i, L)]^p) < 3C\varepsilon \text{ for all } i \geq i_0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{k,l} (f[\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, L)]^p) &\leq C \sup_{k,l} (f[\bar{d}(X_{k,l}, X_{k,l}^{i_0})]^p) \\ &\quad + \sup_{k,l} (f[\bar{d}(X_{k,l}^i, L^i)]^p) \\ &\quad + \sup_{k,l} (f[\bar{d}(L^{i_0}, L)]^p) \\ &< 2C\varepsilon + 3C^2\varepsilon \cong \varepsilon_1 \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_0; l \geq l_0 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $X = (X_{k,l}) \in {}_2W^{I(F)}(f, p)$ . This completes the proof.

## REFERENCES

1. J. A Fridy: On statistical convergence; *Analysis*, 5, 301-313 (1985).
2. O. Kaleva, and S. Seikkala : On fuzzy metric spaces; *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*; 12, 215-229 (1984).
3. P.K. Kamthan, and M. Gupta : Sequence spaces and Series; *Marcel Dekkar*; (1980).
4. P. Kostyrko, T Šalát & W. Wilczyński :  $I$ -convergence; *Real Anal. Exchange*, 26, 669-686 (2000-2001).
5. H. Kizmaz, On certain sequence spaces, *Canada. Math. Bull*, 24(2), (1981).
6. M. A. Krasnoselkii and Y.B Rutitsky: Convex functions and Orlicz functions, *Groningen, Netherlands*; (1961).
7. J. Lindenstrauss and L Tzafriri: On Orlicz sequence spaces, *Israel J. Math.*;101,379-390 (1971).

8. M Matloka : Sequences of fuzzy numbers; *BUSEFAL*, 28, 28-37 (1986).
9. H Nakano: Modular sequence spaces; *Proc. Japan Acad.*; 27, 508-512 (1951).
10. T Šalát, B.C Tripathy and M. Ziman: On some properties of  $I$ -convergence; *Tatra Mountain Publ. Math.*; 28, 279-286 (2004).
11. S. Sarabadan and S.Talebi : On  $I$ -Convergence of Double Sequences in 2-Normed Spaces; *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences*, 7(14), 673 – 684 (2012).
12. B.K Tripathy and B.C Tripathy: On  $I$ -convergent double sequences; *Soochow Jour. Math.*; 31(4), 549-560 (2005).
13. B.C Tripathy and B. Sarma: Statistically Convergent Double Sequence Spaces Defined by Orlicz Function; *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, 32(2), 211-221 (2006).
14. B.C Tripathy and B. Sarma : Some Double Sequence Spaces of Fuzzy Numbers Defined by Orlicz Functions; *Acta Math. Scientia*; 31(1), Ser B, 134-140 (2011).
15. B.C Tripathy and P.C Das : Some classes of difference sequences of fuzzy real numbers, *Fasciculi Mathematici*, 40 : 105-117 (2008).
16. B.C Tripathy and A. J Dutta : On fuzzy real valued double sequence spaces  ${}_2\ell_F^p$ , *Math. Computer Modell*; 46(9-10), 1294-1299 (2007).
17. B.C Tripathy and B. Sarma: Statistically Convergent difference double sequence spaces; *Acta Math. Sinica (Eng. Ser)* ; 24(5), 737-742 (2008).
18. B.C Tripathy and B. Hazarika :  $I$ - convergent sequence spaces associated with multiplier sequence spaces; *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*; 11(3), 543-548 (2008).
19. B.C Tripathy and B. Hazarika: Paranormed  $I$ - convergent sequence spaces; *Math. Slovaca*; 59(4), 485-494 (2009).
20. B.C Tripathy and B. Sarma: Vector valued double sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function; *Math. Slovaca*; 59(6), 767-776 (2009).
21. B.C Tripathy and A. J Dutta : Bounded Variation Double Sequence Spaces of Fuzzy Real Numbers: *Compute Math Appl.*, 59(2), 1031-1037 (2010).
22. B.C Tripathy and S. Mahanta : On  $I$ - acceleration convergence of sequences; *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, 347, 591-598 (2010).
23. B.C Tripathy and B. Hazarika:  $I$ - convergent sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function; *Acta Math. Applicata Sinica*, 27(1) 149-154 (2011).
24. B.C Tripathy and P. Chandra : On some generalized difference paranormed sequence spaces associated with multiplier sequences defined by modulus function; *Anal. Theory Appl.*; 27(1), 21-27 (2011).
25. B.C Tripathy and B. Hazarika :  $I$ - monotonic and  $I$ - convergent sequences; *Kyungpook Math. Journal*. 51(2), 233-239 (2011).
26. B.C Tripathy, M. Sen and S. Nath :  $I$ - convergence in probabilistic  $n$ - normed space; *Soft Compute.*, 2012, 16, 1021-1027, DOI 10.1007/s00500-011-0799-8.
27. B.C Tripathy and A. J Dutta : On  $I$ - acceleration convergence of sequences of fuzzy real numbers; *Math. Modell. Analysis*, 17(4), 549-557 (2012).
28. B.C Tripathy ,B. Hazarika and B. Choudhury: Lacunary  $I$ - convergent sequences; *Kyungpook Math. Journal*. 52(4), 473-482 (2012).

29. B.C Tripathy and B. Sarma : On  $I$ - convergent double sequences of fuzzy real numbers; *Kyungpook Math. Journal.* 52(2), 189-200 (2012).
30. B.C Tripathy and M. Sen : On fuzzy  $I$ - convergent difference sequence space; *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 25(3), 643-647 (2013).
31. B.C Tripathy and M. Sen : Paranormed  $I$ - convergent double sequence spaces associated with multiplier sequences; *Kyungpook Math. Journal.* 54(2), 321-332 (2014).
32. L. A Zadeh : Fuzzy sets; *Inform and Control*, 8, 338-353 (1965).
33. A. Zygmund: *Trigonometric Series*; vol. II, Cambridge (1993).