

Fixed Point Theorem in Dislocated Quasi Metric-Space

Ganesh Kumar Soni

Department of Mathematics,
Govt. P.G. College Narsinghpur, M. P., INDIA.

(Received on: April 2, 2014)

ABSTRACT

The object of our paper, we have proved fixed point theorems in dislocated quasi metric spaces.

Keywords: Dislocated quasi-metric spaces, Fixed Point.

1. INTRODUCTION

S. Banach¹ proved the famous and well-known Banach contraction principle concerning the fixed point of contraction mapping in complete metric space. Hitzler and A.K. Seda² introduced the notion of dislocated metric spaces thereby extended the Banach contraction principle for such spaces. Zeyada *et al.*³ generalized the work of Hitzler and Seda² in dislocated quasi metric spaces. Recently results on fixed point in dislocated and dislocated quasi metric spaces followed by Isuafti⁴ Aage and Salunke⁵. The object of this paper we prove fixed point theorems in dislocated quasi metric space.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Before presenting the main result, we need some definitions:

Definition 2.1 [3]-: Let X be a non-empty

and let $d: X \times X \rightarrow R^+$ be a function satisfying following conditions:

- (i) $d(x,y) = d(y,x) = 0$ implies $x = y$
- (ii) $d(x,y) \leq d(x,z) + d(z,y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$

Then is called a dislocated quasi-metric on X , if d satisfies $d(x,y) = d(y,x)$ then it is called dislocated metric space.

Definition 2.2 [3]-: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in dislocated quasi-metric space (X,d) is called Cauchy sequence if for given

$\epsilon > 0 \exists n_0 \in N$ such that for all $m, n \geq n_0$ implies that

$d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$ or $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ i.e.

$\min \{d(x_m, x_n), d(x_n, x_m)\} < \epsilon$. If

replace $d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$ or $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ by

$\max \{d(x_m, x_n), d(x_n, x_m)\} < \epsilon$ the

sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called bi- Cauchy and

every bi- Cauchy sequence is Cauchy.

Definition 2.3 [3]:- A sequence $\{x_n\}$ dislocated quasi convergence to x if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x_n) = 0$$

In this case x is called a dislocated quasilimit of $\{x_n\}$ and we write $x_n \rightarrow x$.

Lemma [2.1] [3]:- Dislocated quasi limits in a dislocated quasi-metric space are unique.

Definition 2.4 [3]:- A dislocated quasi metric space (X, d) is called complete if every Cauchy sequence in it is a dislocated quasi-convergent.

Definition 2.6 [3]:- Let (X, d) and (Y, d_2) be dislocated quasi-metric spaces and let

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) \leq & C_1 \max \{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, y)\} \\ & + C_2 \max \{d(x, Tx) + d(x, y), d(y, Ty) + d(x, y)\} \\ & + C_3 \frac{d(x, y) [1 + \sqrt{d(x, y)d(x, Tx)} + \sqrt{d(x, y)d(y, Tx)}]^2}{[1 + d(x, y) + d(x, Tx)d(x, Ty)d(y, Tx)d(y, Ty)]^2} \end{aligned}$$

For all $x, y \in X$, C_1, C_2 and C_3 are non-negative $C_1 + 2C_2 + C_3 < 1$, $C_1 + C_2 < 1$ and $C_1 + C_2 + C_3 < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof :- Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in defined as follows,

Let $x_0 \in X$, $T(x_0) = x_1$, $T(x_1) = x_2$ --- $T(x_n) = x_{n+1}$

putting $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$ in equation(3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq & C_1 \max \{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), d(x_n, Tx_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\} \\ & + C_2 \max \{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\} \end{aligned}$$

$f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then f is continuous to $x_0 \in X$ if for each sequence $\{x_n\}$ which is d_1 -quasi convergent to x_0 the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ is d_2 -quasi convergent to $f(x_0)$ in Y .

Definition 2.7 [3]:- Let (X, d) be a dislocated quasi metric space. A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is called contraction if there exists a number λ where $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ such that $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

MAIN RESULTS

Theorem (3.1): - Let (X, d) be a complete dislocated quasi-metric and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying the following conditions:-

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ C_3 \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) [1 + \sqrt{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})} + \sqrt{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) d(x_n, Tx_{n-1})}]^2}{[1 + d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n) d(x_n, Tx_{n-1}) d(x_n, Tx_n)]^2} \\
 &\leq C_1 \max \{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\} \\
 &\quad + C_2 \max \{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\} \\
 &\quad + C_3 \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) [1 + \sqrt{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) d(x_{n-1}, x_n)} + \sqrt{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) d(x_n, x_n)}]^2}{[1 + d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})d(x_n, x_n) d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^2} \\
 &= C_1 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + C_2 [d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] + C_3 d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\
 (1 - C_1 - C_2) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq (C_2 + C_3) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\
 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \left(\frac{C_2 + C_3}{1 - C_1 - C_2} \right) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\
 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \lambda d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \text{ where } \lambda = \left(\frac{C_2 + C_3}{1 - C_1 - C_2} \right) \text{ with } 0 \leq \lambda < 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \lambda d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \\
 \text{and} \quad &d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda^2 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \\
 &\text{-----} \\
 &\text{-----}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda^n d(x_0, x_1)$$

Since $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, $\lambda^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence in complete dislocated quasi metric space X, Thus $\{x_n\}$ dislocated quasi converges, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Tz, Tx_n) &\leq C_1 \max \{d(z, Tz), d(x_n, Tx_n), d(z, x_n)\} \\
 &\quad + C_2 \max \{d(z, Tz) + d(z, x_n), d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(z, x_n)\} \\
 &\quad + C_3 \frac{d(z, x_n) [1 + \sqrt{d(z, x_n) d(z, Tz)} + \sqrt{d(z, x_n) d(x_n, Tx_n)}]^2}{[1 + d(z, x_n) + d(z, Tz)d(z, Tx_n)d(x_n, Tz) d(x_n, Tx_n)]^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the point $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Tz, z) &\leq C_1 \max \{d(z, Tz), d(z, z), d(z, z)\} \\
 &\quad + C_2 \max \{d(z, Tz) + d(z, z), d(z, z) + d(z, z)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C_3 \frac{d(z, z) [1 + \sqrt{d(z, z)d(z, Tz)} + \sqrt{d(z, z)d(z, Tz)}]^2}{[1 + d(z, z) + d(z, Tz)d(z, z)d(z, Tz) d(z, z)]^2} \\
& \leq C_1 d(z, Tz) + C_2 d(z, Tz) \\
& d(Tz, z) \leq (C_1 + C_2) d(z, Tz)
\end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction, therefore $Tz = z$, i.e. z is a fixed point of Tz .

For uniqueness, let $w (z \neq w)$ be another fixed point of T . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(z, w) = d(Tz, Tw) \\
& \leq C_1 \max \{d(z, Tz), d(w, Tw), d(z, w)\} \\
& + C_2 \max \{d(z, Tz) + d(z, w), d(w, Tw) + d(z, w)\} \\
& + C_3 \frac{d(z, w) [1 + \sqrt{d(z, w)d(z, Tz)} + \sqrt{d(z, w)d(w, Tz)}]^2}{[1 + d(z, w) + d(z, Tz)d(z, Tw)d(w, Tz) d(w, Tw)]^2} \\
& \leq C_1 d(z, w) + C_2 d(z, w) + C_3 d(z, w) \\
& d(z, w) \leq (C_1 + C_2 + C_3) d(z, w)
\end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction, since $0 \leq C_1 + C_2 + C_3 < 1$ and therefore $z = w$. Thus the fixed point is unique.

REFERENCES

1. Banach, S. Sur les operation dans ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrals, fund. *Maths*, 133-181 (1922).
2. P. Hitzler and A.K. Seda, Dislocated Topologies, *J. Elector Engg.*, 51 (12/5) 3-7 (2000).
3. F.M. Zeyada, G.H. Hassan and M.A. Ahmed, A. Generalization of a fixed point theorem due to Hitzler and seda in dislocated quasi metric spaces, *The Arabian J. Sci. Engg.* 31 (1A), 111-114 (2005).
4. A. Isufati, Fixed point Theorem in Dislocated Quasi metric space, *Applied Math. Sci.* 4 (5), 217-223 (2010).
5. C.T. Aage and J.N. Salunke, Some results of fixed point Theorem in Dislocated Quasi metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Sci.*, 2 (59), 2941-2948 (2008).