

Common Fixed Point Theorems in Complex Valued Metric Spaces

Bijay Kumar Singh¹ and Saurav Suman²

¹Associate Professor,
P.G. Department of Mathematics,
H.D. Jain College, Arrah (Bhojpur) Bihar, INDIA.

²Research Scholar,
Department of Mathematics,
VKS University, Arrah (Bhojpur) Bihar, INDIA.

(Received on: January 14, 2018)

ABSTRACT

In the present paper we define the concept of metric space, b-metric space, complex b-metric space and proved fixed point results. Recently we established and proved several results on common fixed point in complex valued metric spaces.

Keywords: Metric space, b-metric space, complex valued-metric space, complex valued b- metric space.

INTRODUCTION

In 1998, Czerwik¹⁵ introduced the concept of b-metric space. Recently, Rao *et al.*¹⁶ developed the notion of complex valued b-metric spaces and proved fixed point results. Very recently Singh *et al.*¹⁷ established and proved several results on common fixed point for a pair of mappings satisfying more general contraction conditions portrayed expressions having point-dependent control functions as coefficients in complex valued metric spaces.

Moreover Sumit Chandok and Deepak Kumar¹⁸ proved some common fixed point theorems for four self maps having weakly compatibility in the concept of complex valued metric spaces.

Definition 1: Let X be any non-empty set and let $d: X \otimes X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying following conditions:

- (i) $d(x, y) \geq 0$
- (ii) $d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$
- (iii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$

(ii) $d(x, y) = d(x, z) + d(z, y) \forall x, y, z \in X$.

If d is distance function on X . Then the pair (X, d) is called metric space.

Definition 2: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in metric space (x, d) is called Cauchy sequence if for a given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a number $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall m, n > n_0, d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$.

Definition 3: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in metric space (x, d) is convergent to $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

In this case x is called a limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$.

Definition 4: A metric space (x, d) is called complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Definition 5: Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping then T is said to be expansive mapping if for every $x, y \in X$ there exists a number $r > 1$ such that

$$D(Tx, Ty) \geq rd(x, y)$$

Definition 6: Let X be a non empty set and $s \geq 1$ a given real number. A function $d: X \otimes X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a b -metric space on x if d satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $0 \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$
- (ii) $d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$
- (iii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$
- (iv) $d(x, y) \leq s [d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$

Then d is called a b -metric on X , and (X, d) is called a b -metric space. It is obvious that a b -metric space with base $s=1$ is a metric space.

Definition 7: Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a b -metric space (X, d) .

(i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called convergent if and only if $x \in X$ such that

$$d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ when } n \rightarrow \infty$$

(ii) $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if

$$d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0 \text{ when } n, m \rightarrow \infty$$

In general, a b -metric space is said to be complete if and only if each Cauchy sequence in this space is convergent.

Definition 8: Let X be a non empty set. A mapping $d : X \otimes X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $0 \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$
- (iii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$

Then d is called a complex valued metric on X and (X, d) is called a complex valued metric space.

Example: Let $X = \mathbb{C}$. Define the mapping $d: X \otimes X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $d(z_1, z_2) = e^{ik} |z_1 - z_2|$, where $K \in \mathbb{R}$. Then (X, d) is a complex valued metric space.

Definition 9: Let X be a non empty set and let $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $d: X \otimes X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a complex valued b -metric on X if, for all $x, y, z \in X$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $0 \leq d(x, y)$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$
- (iii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$

The pair (X, d) is called a complex valued b-metric space.

Example: Let $X = [0, 1]$. Define a mapping $d: X \otimes X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $d(x, y) = |x - y|^2 + i|x - y|^2$ for all $x, y \in X$. then (X, d) is a complex valued b-metric space with $s=2$.

Definition 10: Let (X, d) be a complex valued b-metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and $x \in X$.

(1) If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 < c$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n, x) < c$ for all $n > n_0$, then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be converges to x and x is a limit point of $\{x_n\}$. We denote this by $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

(2) If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 < c$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > n_0$, $d(x_n, x_{n+m}) < c$ where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be Cauchy sequence.

(3) If every Cauchy sequence is convergent in (X, d) , then (X, d) is called a complete complex valued b-metric space.

Lemma 1: Let (X, d) be a complex valued b-metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if and only if $|d(x_n, x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2: Let (X, d) be a complex valued b-metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $|d(x_n, x_{n+m})| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 11: Let A and S be self mappings on a set X , if $w = Ax = Sx$ for some x in X , then x is called coincidence point of A and S and w is called a point of coincidence of A and S .

Definition 12: A pair of self mappings $A, S : X \rightarrow X$ is called weakly compatible if A and S commute at their coincidence point. That is, if there be a point u such that $Au = Su$, then $ASu = SAu$, for each $u \in X$.

Definition 13: Let $T, S : X \rightarrow X$ be two self mappings of a complex valued metric space (X, d) . The pair (T, S) is said to satisfy (E. A.) property if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_n = t$, for some $t \in X$.

Theorem 1: Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued b-metric space with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and let $T, S : X \rightarrow X$ be self mappings satisfying:

$$D(Sx, Ty) \lesssim \lambda d(x, y) + \frac{\mu\{d(x, Ty)d(y, Ty) + d(y, Sx)d(y, Ty)\}}{1 + d(x, Ty) + d(y, Sx)} \tag{1}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where λ, μ are nonnegative reals with $s\lambda + \mu < 1$. Then the mapping S and T have unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: For any arbitrary point, x_0 in X . Define sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$x_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n}, x_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+1}, \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{2}$$

Now, we show that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy. Let $x = x_{2n}$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (1), we have

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \\ d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \lambda d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \frac{\mu \{d(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}) d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})\}}{1+d(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})+d(x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})} \\ &= \lambda d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \frac{\mu \{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\}}{1+d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &|d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})| \\ &\leq \lambda d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \frac{\mu \{|d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})| |d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})|\}}{|1+d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})|}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})| < |1+d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})|$, we have

$$|d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})| \leq \lambda |d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})| + \mu |d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})|,$$

and hence

$$|d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})| \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-\mu} |d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})|.$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$|d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3})| \leq \frac{\lambda}{1-\mu} |d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})|.$$

Since $s\lambda + \mu < 1$ and $s \geq 1$, we get $\lambda + \mu < 1$. Therefore, with $\delta = \frac{\lambda}{1-\mu} < 1$, and for all $n \geq 0$, consequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})| &\leq \delta |d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})| \\ &\leq \delta^2 |d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})| \\ &\leq \dots \leq \delta^{2n+1} |d(x_0, x_1)|. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Thus, for $m > n$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and since $s\delta = \frac{\lambda}{1-\mu} < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|d(x_n, x_m)| \\ &\leq s |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + s |d(x_{n+1}, x_m)| \\ &\leq s |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + s^2 |d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})| + s^2 |d(x_{n+2}, x_m)| \\ &\leq s |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + s^2 |d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})| + s^3 |d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3})| + s^2 |d(x_{n+3}, x_m)| \\ &\leq s |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| + s^2 |d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})| + s^3 |d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3})| + \dots + \\ &\quad s^{m-n-2} |d(x_{m-3}, x_{m-2})| + s^{m-n-1} |d(x_{m-2}, x_{m-1})| + s^{m-n-1} |d(x_{m-1}, x_m)| \end{aligned}$$

By using (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &|d(x_n, x_m)| \\ &\leq s\delta^n |d(x_0, x_1)| + s^2\delta^{n+1} |d(x_0, x_1)| + s^3\delta^{n+2} |d(x_0, x_1)| + \dots + s^{m-n-2}\delta^{m-3} |d(x_0, x_1)| \\ &+ s^{m-n-1}\delta^{m-2} |d(x_0, x_1)| + s^{m-n}\delta^{m-1} |d(x_0, x_1)| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} s^i \delta^{i+n-1} |d(x_0, x_1)|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |d(x_n, x_m)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} s^i \delta^{i+n-1} |d(x_0, x_1)| \\ &= \sum_{t=n}^{m-n} s^t \delta^t |d(x_0, x_1)| \\ &\leq \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} (s\delta)^t |d(x_0, x_1)| \\ &= \frac{(s\delta)^n}{1-s\delta} |d(x_0, x_1)|. \end{aligned}$$

and hence $|d(x_n, x_m)| \leq \frac{(s\delta)^n}{1-s\delta} |d(x_0, x_1)| \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Since X is complete, there exists some $u \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We show that $Su = u$. Assuming not, then there exist $z \in X$ such that

$$|d(u, Su)| = |z| > 0. \tag{4}$$

By using the triangular inequality and (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} z = d(u, Su) &\lesssim sd(u, x_{2n+2}) + sd(x_{2n+2}, Su) \\ &= sd(u, x_{2n+2}) + sd(Tx_{2n+1}, Su) \\ &\lesssim sd(u, x_{2n+2}) + s \\ &\quad \left\{ + \frac{\lambda d(u, x_{2n+1}) + \mu \{d(u, Tx_{2n+1})d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Su)d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})\}}{1 + d(u, Tx_{2n+1})d(x_{2n+1}, Su)} \right\} \\ &= sd(u, x_{2n+2}) + s\lambda d(u, x_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + \frac{s\mu \{d(u, x_{2n+2})d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Su)d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2})\}}{1 + d(u, Tx_{2n+2})d(x_{2n+1}, Su)} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Taking limit of (5) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $|z| = |d(u, Su)| \leq 0$, a contradiction with (4).

So $|z| = 0$.

Hence $Su = u$.

Similarly, we can show that $Tu = u$.

Uniqueness: Let u^* be another common fixed point of S and T. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, u^*) &= d(Su, Tu^*) \\ &\leq \lambda d(u, u^*) + \frac{\mu \{d(u, Tu^*)d(u^*, Tu^*) + d(u^*, Su)d(u^*, Tu^*)\}}{1 + d(u, Tu^*) + d(u^*, Su)} \\ &\leq \lambda d(u, u^*). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $|d(u, u^*)| \leq |\lambda d(u, u^*)|$, a contradiction. So, $u = u^*$ which proves the uniqueness of common fixed point in X. this completes the proof.

Corollary 1: Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued b-metric space with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self mappings satisfying:

$$d(Sx, Ty) \lesssim \lambda d(x, y) + \frac{\mu \{d(x, Ty)d(y, Ty) + d(y, Tx)d(y, Ty)\}}{1 + d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)} \tag{6}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where λ, μ are non-negative reals with $s\lambda + \mu < 1$. Then the mapping T has unique common fixed point in X.

Proof: We can prove the theorem by applying Theorem (1) with $S = T$.

Corollary 2: Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued b-metric space with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self mappings satisfying:

$$d(Tx, T_y^n) \lesssim \lambda d(x, y) + \frac{\mu \{d(x, T^n y)d(y, T^n y) + d(y, T^n x)d(y, T^n y)\}}{1 + d(x, T^n y) + d(y, T^n x)} \tag{7}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where λ, μ are non-negative reals with $s\lambda + \mu < 1$. Then the mapping T has unique common fixed point in X.

Proof: From theorem (1), we obtain $u \in X$ such that

$$T^n u = u$$

The uniqueness follows from

$$D(Tu, u) = d(TT^n u, T^n u) = d(TT^n u, T^n u)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \lambda d(Tu, u) + \frac{\mu\{d(Tu, T^n u)d(u, T^n u) + d(u, T^n Tu)d(u, T^n u)\}}{1 + d(T^n u, T^n u) + d(u, T^n u)} \\ &\lesssim \lambda d(Tu, u) + \frac{\mu\{d(Tu, u)d(u, u) + d(u, T^n Tu)d(u, u)\}}{1 + d(T^n u, u) + d(u, T^n u)} \\ &= \lambda d(Tu, u). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Taking modulus of (8) and since $\lambda < 1$, we obtain

$$|d(Tu, u)| \leq \lambda |d(Tu, u)| < |d(Tu, u)|, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

So, $Tu = u$ and thus

$$Tu = T^n u = u.$$

Therefore the fixed point of T is unique.

Example: Let $X = \mathbb{C}$. Define a function $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$d(z_1, z_2) = |x_1 - x_2|^2 + i|y_1 - y_2|^2 \tag{9}$$

where $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$ and $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$.

To verify that (X, d) is a complete complex valued b-metric space with $s = 2$, it is enough to verify the triangular inequality condition.

Let z_1, z_2 and $z_3 \in X$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(z_1, z_2) &= |x_1 - x_2|^2 + i|y_1 - y_2|^2 \\ &= |x_1 - x_3 + x_3 - x_2|^2 + i|y_1 - y_3 + y_3 - y_2|^2 \\ &\lesssim |x_1 - x_3|^2 + |x_3 - x_2|^2 + 2|x_1 - x_3| + |x_3 - x_2| + \\ &\quad i[|y_1 - y_3|^2 + |y_3 - y_2|^2 + 2|y_1 - y_3| + |y_3 - y_2|] \\ &\lesssim |x_1 - x_3|^2 + |x_3 - x_2|^2 + |x_1 - x_3|^2 + |x_3 - x_2|^2 + \\ &\quad i[|y_1 - y_3|^2 + |y_3 - y_2|^2 + i|y_1 - y_3|^2 + |y_3 - y_2|^2] \\ &= 2\{|x_1 - x_3|^2 + |x_3 - x_2|^2 + i|y_1 - y_3|^2 + |y_3 - y_2|^2\} \\ &= 2[d(z_1, z_3) + d(z_3, z_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $s = 2$.

Now define two self mappings $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ as follows:

$$Tz = T(x + iy) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x, y \in Q \\ 2 & \text{if } x \in Q^c, y \in Q \\ 2i & \text{if } x \in Q^c, y \in Q^c \\ 2+2i & \text{if } x \in Q, y \in Q^c \end{cases}$$

such that $S = T$ and $s = x + iy$. Let $x = \frac{1}{\pi}$ and $y = 0$, and since $\lambda \in [1, 0)$, we have $d(Tx, Ty) =$

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(T\frac{1}{\pi}, T0\right) &= d(2, 0) = 4 > \lambda \frac{1}{\pi^2} \\ &= \lambda d\left(T\frac{1}{\pi}, 0\right) + \frac{\mu\{d(T\frac{1}{\pi}, T0)d(0, T0) + d(0, T\frac{1}{\pi})d(0, 0)\}}{1 + d(T\frac{1}{\pi}, T0) + d(0, T\frac{1}{\pi})} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $T^n z = 0$ for $n > 1$, so

$$d(T^n x, T^n y) = 0 \lesssim \lambda d(x, y) + \frac{\mu\{d(x, T^n y)d(y, T^n y) + d(y, T^n x)d(y, T^n y)\}}{1 + d(x, T^n y) + d(y, T^n x)} \tag{10}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ with $2\lambda + \mu < 1$. So, all conditions of corollary (2) are satisfied to get a unique fixed point 0 of T .

REFERENCES

1. Aysi, H., "A fixed point result involving a generalized weakly contractive condition in G-metric spaces." *Bull. of Math. Anal. And Appl.* 3(4): (2011).
2. Dhage, B.C., "Generalized metric space and mapping with fixed point." *Bull of Calcutta Math. Soc.* 84: (1992).
3. Galher, S., "2-metriche raume and ihre topologische structur" *Math. Nachrishten* 26: (1963).
4. Galher, S., "Zur geometric 2-metriche raume" *Pures et Applicatiquaes.* 40:(1966).
5. Hsiao, C.R., "A property of contractive type mappings in 2-metric spaces". *Jnanabha* 16: (1986).
6. Mustafa, Z. and Sims, B., "A new approach to generalized metric spaces." *J. Non-linear Convex Anal.* 7(2):289-297, (2004).
7. Mustafa, Z. and Sims, B., "Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G-metric spaces." *Fixed Point Theory and Applications.* (2009).
8. Mustafa, Z. and Sims, B., "Some remarks concerning D-metric spaces". In Proceedings of the International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications, *Yokohama Publishers Valencia*, Spain, 189-198: (2004).
9. Mustafa, Z. Obiedet, H. and Awawdeh, F., "Some fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G-metric spaces." *Fixed Point Theory and Applications.* (2008).
10. Mustafa, Z., "A new structure for generalized metric spaces with applications to fixed point theory." Ph. D. thesis, The University of Newcastle, Calinghan, Australia, (2005).
11. Mustafa, Z., Shatanawi, W. and Bataineh, M., "Existence of fixed point results in G-metric spaces". *Internat. J. Math Math. Sci.* page 10 (2009).
12. Shatanawi, W., "Some fixed point theorems for contractive mappings satisfying – maps in G-metric spaces." *Fixed Point Theory and Applications.* (2010).
13. Singh, B.K. and Singh, H., "Fixed points of Interacts of Multi-valued mappings." *NIARJS.* 22: 3-6: (2016).
14. Singh, B.K. and Singh, H., "Some problems on the representation of Multi-valued function." *ARJPS.* 19: 13-17: (2016).
15. Czerwik, S., "Non-linear set valued contraction mapping in b-metric spaces. *Atti Sem, Mat Univ. Modena.*" 46: 263-276: (1998).
16. Rao, K.P.R., Swam, P.R., Prasad, J.R., "A common fixed pint theorem in complex valued b-metric spaces." *Bull. Math. Stat. Res.* 1(1): (2013).
17. Singh, N., Singh. D., Badal, A., Joshi, V., "Fixed points theorems in complex valued metric spaces." *J. Egpt. Math Soc.* 18: (2015).
18. Chandok, S., Kumar, D. "Some common fixed point Results for Rational type contraction mappings in complex valued metric spaces." *J. of Operators* (2013).